

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD W. FARLEY,

Petitioner,

v.

RON DAVIS,

Respondent.

Case No. 16-cv-04443-PJH

DEATH PENALTY CASE

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY**

Re: Dkt. No. 61

Farley has filed a motion to stay the current proceeding pursuant to *King v. Ryan*, 564 F.3d 1133, 1141-42 (9th Cir. 2009), and *Kelly v. Small*, 315 F.3d 1063 (9th Cir. 2003), so that he may return to state court to exhaust his unexhausted claims. Davis has filed a statement of non-opposition.

Under the procedure outlined in *Kelly*, “(1) a petitioner amends his petition to delete any unexhausted claims; (2) the court stays and holds in abeyance the amended, fully exhausted petition, allowing the petitioner the opportunity to proceed to state court to exhaust the deleted claims; and (3) the petitioner later amends his petition and re-attaches the newly-exhausted claims to the original petition.” *King*, 564 F.3d at 1134 (citing *Kelly*, 315 F.3d at 1070–71). Farley is not required to show good cause as under *Rhines*, but rather must eventually show that the amendment of any newly exhausted claims back into the petition satisfies both *Mayle v. Felix*, 545 U.S. 644, 655 (2005), by sharing a “common core of operative facts” and *Duncan v. Walker*, 533 U.S. 167 (2001), by complying with the statute of limitations. *King*, 564 F.3d at 1141–43.

1 As noted by Davis in his statement of non-opposition, the Court's February 5, 2018  
2 Order dismissing the unexhausted claims completed the first step of the process.  
3 Accordingly, Farley's motion to stay is GRANTED. The Federal Public Defender of  
4 Arizona is hereby appointed to represent Farley in his state exhaustion proceedings.

5 Within 90 days of the filing date of this Order, Farley shall file an exhaustion  
6 petition in state court. To the extent that any claim contains allegations or supporting  
7 documentation that were not part of the state court record, pursuant to *Cullen v.*  
8 *Pinholster*, 131 U.S. 1388, 1400 (2011), such materials must be presented to the  
9 California Supreme Court before they may be reviewed by this Court under 28 U.S.C  
10 § 2254(d)(1). Accordingly, they should be included in the exhaustion petition

11 One hundred and twenty days after the entry of this Order, and every 90 days  
12 thereafter until proceedings in his state exhaustion case are completed, Farley shall  
13 serve and file in this Court a brief report containing an update on the status of his pending  
14 state habeas action. No later than thirty (30) days after proceedings in his state case are  
15 completed, Farley shall serve and file notice that proceedings are completed.

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17  
18 Dated: May 8, 2018



19  
20 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
United States District Judge  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28